

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10 SAN JOSE DIVISION

11 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 10-00655 JF (PVT)
12)
13 Plaintiff,) ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER CONTINUING THE
14 vs.) STATUS HEARING
15)
16 ROSALIO LOPEZ-PEREZ,)
17)
18 Defendants.)
19 _____)

20 GOOD CAUSE APPEARING, upon stipulation of the parties, IT IS HEREBY
21 ORDERED that the hearing currently set for Thursday, October 28, 2010, shall be continued to
22 Thursday, November 4, 2010, at 9:00 a.m.

23 THE COURT FINDS that failing to exclude the time between October 28, 2010, and
24 November 4, 2010, would unreasonably deny the defendant's continuity of counsel, and would
25 unreasonably deny counsel the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into
26 account the exercise of due diligence. See 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

THE COURT FURTHER FINDS that the ends of justice served by excluding the time
between October 28, 2010, and November 4, 2010, from computation under the Speedy Trial Act
outweigh the interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.

1 THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the time between October 28, 2010, and
2 November 4, 2010, shall be excluded from computation under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. §
3 3161(h)(7)(A) and (B)(iv).

4 IT IS SO ORDERED.

5 Dated: 11/3/10


HONORABLE JEREMY FOGEL
United States District Judge